South East Asian J. of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences Vol. 17, No. 2 (2021), pp. 141-152

ISSN (Online): 2582-0850

ISSN (Print): 0972-7752

# FUZZY PRE- $\gamma$ -COMPACT, FUZZY PRE- $\gamma$ -CONNECTED AND FUZZY PRE- $\gamma$ -CLOSED SPACES

#### C. Sivashanmugaraja

Department of Mathematics, Periyar Government Arts College, Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu - 607001, INDIA

E-mail: csrajamaths@yahoo.co.in

(Received: Sep. 18, 2020 Accepted: Jun. 29, 2021 Published: Aug. 30, 2021)

**Abstract:** Compactness and connectedness play a crucial role in Topology. In this paper, we introduce concepts of fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact, fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -connected and fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed spaces by using concepts of fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -open sets. Then we study their properties and compare them.

**Keywords and Phrases:** Fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -open sets, fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact, fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -connected, fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed spaces.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A05, 54A40, 54D05, 54D30.

#### 1. Introduction

The notion of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh in his paper [12]. By using the concept of fuzzy sets, Chang [1] introduced the idea of fuzzy topological space. The notion of fuzzy sets has been used by many researchers to several branches of Mathematics. Kasahara [7] defined the notion of an operation  $\gamma$  on a topological space. Kalitha and Das [6] introduced and investigated the operation  $\gamma$  on fuzzy topological spaces. The notion of pre- $\gamma$ -open sets in general topological spaces was defined by Ibrahim [5]. Recently Sivashanmugaraja and Vadivel [11] introduced the notion of pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy sets in fuzzy topological spaces. The aim of this paper is devoted to introduce and investigate the notion of pre- $\gamma$ -compact, pre- $\gamma$ -connected and pre- $\gamma$ -closed spaces in fuzzy setting. Also we establish some basic theorems about it.

#### 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper  $(X, \tau_X)$  or simply X always mean a fuzzy topological space (fts, for short). The interior, the closure and complement of a fuzzy set  $A \in I^X$  will be denoted by int(A), cl(A) and  $A^c$  respectively. By  $\underline{0}$  and  $\underline{1}$  we mean the constant fuzzy sets taking on the values 0 and 1 respectively. Now we recall some of the basic definitions.

**Definition 2.1.** [6] Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be a fuzzy topological space. A fuzzy operation  $\gamma$  on the topology  $\tau_X$  is a mapping from  $\tau$  into set  $I^X$  such that  $\lambda \subseteq \gamma(\lambda)$ ,  $\forall \lambda \in \tau_X$  where  $\gamma(\lambda)$  denotes the value of  $\gamma$  at V. The mapping defined as  $\gamma(\lambda) = \lambda$ ,  $\gamma(\lambda) = cl(\lambda)$ ,  $\gamma(\lambda) = int(cl(\lambda))$ ., etc are examples of fuzzy operations.

**Definition 2.2.** [6] A fuzzy subset  $\lambda$  of  $(X, \tau_X)$  is called a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open, if  $\forall p_x^{\lambda} q \lambda$ ,  $\exists a \mu \in \tau \text{ and } p_x^{\lambda} q \mu \text{ such that } \gamma(\mu) \leq \lambda$ .  $\tau_{\gamma}$  denotes the set of all  $\gamma$ -open fuzzy sets. Clearly we have  $\tau_{\gamma} \subseteq \tau_X$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A fuzzy set  $\lambda$  of a fts X is called fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -open [11] if  $\lambda \leq \tau_{\gamma}$ -int(cl( $\lambda$ )). A fuzzy set  $\lambda$  of a fts X is called fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed [9] iff its complement is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -open. The family of all pre- $\gamma$ -open and pre- $\gamma$ -closed fuzzy sets are denoted by  $FP_{\gamma}O(X)$  and  $FP_{\gamma}C(X)$  respectively.

**Definition 2.4.** [9] Let  $\lambda$  be a fuzzy subset of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then:

- (i) The union of all fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -open sets contained in  $\lambda$  is called the fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -interior of  $\lambda$ , denoted by  $pint_{\gamma}(\lambda)$ . i.e.,  $pint_{\gamma}(\lambda) = \bigvee \{ \mu < \lambda : \mu \in FP_{\gamma}O(X) \}.$
- (ii) The intersection of all fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed sets containing  $\lambda$  is called the fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closure of  $\lambda$ , denoted by  $pcl_{\gamma}(\lambda)$ . i.e.,  $pcl_{\gamma}(\lambda) = \wedge \{\mu \geq \lambda : \mu \in FP_{\gamma}C(X)\}.$

**Definition 2.5.** [4] A collection of fuzzy subsets  $\mathcal{P}$  of a fts  $(X, \tau)$  is called to form a fuzzy filterbases iff for every finite collection  $\{Q_i : i = 1, 2, ..., n\}, \bigwedge_{i=1}^n Q_i \neq \underline{0}.$ 

**Definition 2.6.** [1] A mapping  $f:(X, \tau_X) \to (Y, \tau_Y)$  is called fuzzy continuous, if  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  is an open fuzzy set of  $X, \forall$  open fuzzy set  $\mu$  of Y.

**Definition 2.7.** [10] A mapping  $f:(X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -irresolute (or pre\*- $\gamma$ -continuous), if  $f^{-1}(\lambda)$  is pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy set of  $X, \forall$  pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy set  $\lambda$  of Y.

**Definition 2.8.** [8] A mapping  $f:(X, \tau_X) \to (Y, \tau_Y)$  is called fuzzy  $pre^*-\gamma$ -open, if the image of each pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy set of  $(X, \tau_X)$  is pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy set in

Fuzzy Pre- $\gamma$ -Compact, Fuzzy Pre- $\gamma$ -Connected and Fuzzy Pre- $\gamma$ -Closed Spaces 143

 $(Y, \tau_Y).$ 

### 3. Fuzzy Pre- $\gamma$ -Compact Spaces

In this section, we introduce notions of fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact, fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact relative to X, fuzzy locally pre- $\gamma$ -compact and discuss some fundamental theorems about it.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be a fts and  $\gamma$  be a fuzzy operation on  $\tau_X$ . A collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy subsets of a fts X is said to pre- $\gamma$ -open cover X or to be a pre- $\gamma$ -open covering of X, if  $\bigvee_{B \in \mathcal{A}} B = \underline{1}$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be a fts and  $\gamma$  be a fuzzy operation on  $\tau_X$ . Then X is called fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact if each pre- $\gamma$ -open covering A of X contains a finite sub collection that also covers X.

**Example 3.1.** Any fuzzy topological space  $(X, \tau_X)$  containing only finitely many pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy sets is necessarily fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact, since in this case each pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy sets covering of X is finite.

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be a fts and  $\gamma$  be a fuzzy operation on  $\tau_X$ . A fuzzy set  $\lambda$  in X is called fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact relative to X iff  $\forall$  collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy sets such that  $(\bigvee_{\mu \in \mathcal{A}} \mu) \geq \lambda(x)$ , there is a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $(\bigvee_{\mu \in \mathcal{A}} \mu) \geq \lambda(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in S(\lambda)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** A fts X is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact iff each collection  $\{C_i : i \in J\}$  of pre- $\gamma$ -closed fuzzy sets of X having the finite intersection property,  $(\bigwedge_{i \in J} C_i) \neq \underline{0}$ .

**Proof.** Let X be a fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact and the collection  $\{C_i : i \in J\}$  of pre- $\gamma$ -closed fuzzy sets having the finite intersection property. Assume that  $(\bigwedge_{i \in J} C_i) = \underline{0}$ .

Then  $(\bigvee_{i\in J} \overline{C_i}) = \underline{1}$ . By hypothesis  $\{\overline{C_i}: i\in J\}$  is a collection of pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy sets covering X, then by definition of pre- $\gamma$ -compactness of X, it follows that then  $\exists$  a finite subset I of J such that  $(\bigvee_{i\in I} \overline{C_i}) = \underline{1}$ . Therefore  $(\bigwedge_{i\in I} C_i) = \underline{0}$ , which is a contradiction to our assumption. Hence  $(\bigwedge_{i\in I} C_i) \neq \underline{0}$ .

Conversely, let the collection  $\{C_i : i \in J\}$  of pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy sets covering X. Assume that for each finite fuzzy subset I of J, we have  $(\bigvee_{i \in I} C_i) \neq \underline{1}$ . Therefore  $(\bigwedge_{i \in I} (\overline{C_i})) \neq \underline{0}$ . Thus  $\{\overline{C_i} : i \in J\}$  satisfies the finite intersection property. Therefore by hypothesis, we obtain  $(\bigwedge_{i \in J} \overline{C_i}) \neq \underline{0}$ . which implies  $(\bigvee_{i \in I} C_i) \neq \underline{1}$ . This is a contra-

diction to our assumption  $\{C_i : i \in J\}$  is a pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy set cover of X. Hence X is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact.

**Theorem 3.2.** A fts X is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact iff each fuzzy filterbases  $\mathcal{P}$  in X,  $(\bigwedge_{H \in \mathcal{P}} pcl_{\gamma}(H)) \neq \underline{0}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -open cover which has no finite sub-cover in X. Then  $\forall$  finite sub collection of  $\{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, ..., \mathcal{B}_n\}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\exists x \in X$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_i(x) < 1$ ,  $\forall i = 1, 2, ..., n$ . Therefore  $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_i(x) \geq 0$ , so that  $(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \overline{\mathcal{A}}_i(x)) \neq \underline{0}$ . Hence  $\{\overline{\mathcal{B}}_i(x) : \mathcal{B}_i \in \mathcal{A}\}$  forms a filterbases in X. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy set cover of X,  $(\bigvee_{\mathcal{B}_i \in \mathcal{A}} pcl_{\gamma}(\mathcal{B}_i))(x) = (\bigvee_{\mathcal{B}_i \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}_i)(x) = \underline{1}$ ,  $\forall x \in X$  and thus  $\bigwedge_{\mathcal{B}_i \in \mathcal{A}} pcl_{\gamma}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_i)(x) = \bigwedge_{\mathcal{B}_i \in \mathcal{A}} \overline{\mathcal{B}}_i(x) = \underline{0}$ , which is a contradiction. Then each pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy set cover of X has a finite subcover and thus X is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact.

Conversely, assume that  $\exists$  a filterbases  $\mathcal{P}$  in X, such that  $\bigwedge_{\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{P}} pcl_{\gamma}(\mathcal{H}) = \underline{0}$ , so that  $(\bigvee_{\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{P}} \overline{pcl_{\gamma}(\mathcal{H})})(x) = \underline{1}$ ,  $\forall x \in X$  and thus  $\mathcal{A} = \{\overline{pcl_{\gamma}(\mathcal{H})} : \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is a fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy set cover of X. Since X is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact, we obtain  $\mathcal{P}$  has a finite subcover. Therefore  $(\bigvee_{i=1}^{n} \overline{pcl_{\gamma}(\mathcal{H}_{i})})(x) = \underline{1}$  and thus  $(\bigvee_{i=1}^{n} \overline{(\mathcal{H}_{i})})(x) = \underline{1}$ , so that  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} (\mathcal{H}_{i}) = \underline{0}$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $\bigwedge_{\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{P}} pcl_{\gamma}(\mathcal{H}) \neq \underline{0}$ ,  $\forall$  filterbases  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** A fuzzy set  $\lambda$  in a fts X is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact relative to X iff each filterbase  $\mathcal P$  such that each finite members of  $\mathcal P$  is quasi coincident with C,  $(\bigwedge_{H \in \mathcal P} pcl_{\gamma}(H)) \wedge C \neq \underline{0}$ .

**Proof.** If possible assume that  $\lambda$  is not fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact relative to X, then  $\exists$  a pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy set  $\mathcal{A}$  covering of  $\lambda$  such that no finite subcover  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $(\bigvee_{B_i \in \mathcal{B}} B_i)(x) < \lambda(x)$ , for some  $x \in S(\lambda)$ , so that  $(\bigwedge_{B_i \in \mathcal{B}} B_i(x) > \overline{\lambda}(x) \geq \underline{0}$  and thus  $\mathcal{P} = \{\overline{B_i}(x) : B_i \in \mathcal{A}\}$  forms a filterbases and  $(\bigwedge_{B_i \in \mathcal{B}} \overline{B_i}) \ q \ \lambda$ . Since,  $(\bigwedge_{B_i \in \mathcal{B}} pcl_{\gamma}(B_i)) \ \Lambda \neq \underline{0}$ , we obtain  $(\bigwedge_{B_i \in \mathcal{B}} \overline{B_i}) \ \Lambda \lambda \neq \underline{0}$ . Then for some  $x \in S(\lambda)$ ,  $(\bigwedge_{B_i \in \mathcal{A}} \overline{B_i})(x) > \underline{0}$ , which gives  $(\bigvee_{B_i \in \mathcal{A}} B_i)(x) < \underline{1}$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact relative to X.

Conversely, assume that  $\exists$  a filterbases  $\mathcal{P}$  such that each finite members of  $\mathcal{P}$  is quasi coincident with  $\lambda$  and  $(\bigwedge_{H \in \mathcal{P}} pcl_{\gamma}(H)) \bigwedge \lambda \neq \underline{0}$ . Then  $\forall x \in S(\lambda)$ ,

Fuzzy Pre- $\gamma$ -Compact, Fuzzy Pre- $\gamma$ -Connected and Fuzzy Pre- $\gamma$ -Closed Spaces 145

 $(\bigwedge_{G \in \Gamma} pcl_{\gamma}(G))(x) \neq \underline{0} \text{ and thus } (\bigvee_{H \in \mathcal{P}} \overline{pcl_{\gamma}(H)})(x) = \underline{1}, \ \forall \ x \in S(\lambda). \text{ Hence } \mathcal{A} = \{\overline{pcl_{\gamma}(H)} : H \in \mathcal{P}\} \text{ is a pre-}\gamma\text{-open fuzzy set cover of } \lambda. \text{ Since } \lambda \text{ is fuzzy pre-}\gamma\text{-compact relative to } X, \ \exists \text{ a finite subcover, say } \{\overline{pcl_{\gamma}(H_i)} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \text{ such that } (\bigvee_{i=1}^n pcl_{\gamma}(H_i)(x) \geq \lambda(x) \ \forall \ x \in S(\lambda). \text{ Thus } (\bigwedge_{i=1}^n pcl_{\gamma}(H_i))(x) \leq \overline{\lambda}(x) \ \forall \ x \in S(\lambda), \text{ so that } (\bigwedge_{i=1}^n pcl_{\gamma}(H_i)) \ \overline{q} \ \lambda \text{ which is a contradiction. Thus } \forall \text{ filterbases } \mathcal{P}, \text{ each finite member of } \mathcal{P} \text{ is quasi-coincident with } \lambda. \text{ Hence } (\bigwedge_{H \in \mathcal{P}} pcl_{\gamma}(H)) \ \bigwedge \lambda \neq \underline{0}.$ 

**Theorem 3.4.** Each pre- $\gamma$ -closed fuzzy subset of fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact space is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact relative to X.

**Proof.** Assume that  $\mathcal{P}$  be a fuzzy filterbases in  $(X, \tau_X)$  such that  $\mu \ q \ (\bigwedge \{\lambda : \lambda \in \mathcal{Q}\})$  holds  $\forall$  finite sub collection  $\mathcal{Q}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  and pre- $\gamma$ -closed fuzzy subset  $\mu$ . Let  $\lambda^* = \{\mu\} \cup \lambda$ . For any finite sub collection  $\mathcal{Q}^*$  of  $\mathcal{P}^*$ , if  $\mu \notin \mathcal{Q}^*$ , then  $\bigwedge \mathcal{Q}^* \neq \underline{0}$ . If  $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}^*$  and since  $\mu \ q \ (\bigwedge \{\lambda : \lambda \in \mathcal{Q}^* - \mu\})$ , we obtain  $\bigwedge \mathcal{Q}^* \neq \underline{0}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{Q}^*$  is a fuzzy filterbases in X. Since X is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact, we obtain  $(\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^*} pcl_{\gamma}(\lambda)) \neq \underline{0}$ , such that  $(\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} pcl_{\gamma}(\lambda)) \bigwedge \mu = pcl_{\gamma}(\lambda) \bigwedge pcl_{\gamma}(\mu) \neq \underline{0}$ . Hence by Theorem 3.3,  $\mu$  is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact relative to X.

**Theorem 3.5.** The image of a fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact space under a fuzzy pre\*- $\gamma$ -continuous mapping is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact. **Proof.** Obvious.

Theorem 3.6. If a mapping  $f:(X, \tau_X) \to (Y, \tau_Y)$  is fuzzy  $pre^*-\gamma$ -continuous and  $\eta$  is fuzzy  $pre-\gamma$ -compact relative to X, then  $f(\eta)$  is fuzzy  $pre-\gamma$ -compact. Proof. Let  $\{B_{\mu}: \mu \in \mathcal{P}\}$  be a pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy set cover of  $S(f(\eta))$  in Y. For x in  $S(\eta)$ ,  $f(x) \in f(S(\eta))$ . Since f is fuzzy  $pre^*-\gamma$ -continuous,  $\{f^{-1}(B_{\mu}): \mu \in \mathcal{P}\}$  is  $pre-\gamma$ -open fuzzy set cover of  $S(\eta)$  in X. Since  $\eta$  is fuzzy  $pre-\gamma$ -compact relative to X, there is a finite subcollection  $\{f^{-1}(B_{\mu}): \mu = 1, 2, ..., n\}$  such that  $S(\eta) \leq \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} f^{-1}(B_{\mu}) = f^{-1}(B_{\mu})$ . Thus  $S(f(\eta)) = f(S(\eta)) \leq f(f^{-1}(B_{\mu})) \leq \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} f(B_{\mu})$ . Hence  $f(\eta)$  is fuzzy  $pre-\gamma$ -compact relative to Y.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be a fts and  $\gamma$  be a fuzzy operation on  $\tau_X$ . Then X is called fuzzy locally pre- $\gamma$ -compact iff for each fuzzy point  $x_{\alpha}$  in  $X, \exists \mu$  such that

- (i)  $x_{\alpha} \in \mu$ ;
- (ii)  $\mu$  is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact.

**Remark 3.1.** Every fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact is fuzzy locally pre- $\gamma$ -compact.

The converse of the above Remark 3.1 need not be true as shown in the following example.

**Example 3.2.** Let X = I = [0,1] and consider the following fuzzy sets  $A_1(x) = 1.30/\sqrt{2}$ ,  $A_2(x) = 1.31/\sqrt{2}$ ,  $A_3(x) = 1.32/\sqrt{2}$ , ....,  $\forall x \in I$ . Let  $\tau = \{A_i : i \in N^+\} \cup \{\underline{0},\underline{1}\}$  It is clear that  $\tau$  is fuzzy topology on X. Define an operation  $\gamma$  on  $\tau$  by  $\gamma(\lambda) = \lambda$ . Now the space X is fuzzy locally pre- $\gamma$ -compact, since the whole space X satisfies the necessary condition. But the space X is not fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact, since X has no finite fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -open subcover.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be a locally pre- $\gamma$ -compact fts and  $(Y, \tau_Y)$  be a fts. If a fuzzy continuous mapping  $f: (X, \tau_X) \to (Y, \tau_Y)$  is fuzzy pre\*- $\gamma$ -open, then Y is fuzzy locally pre- $\gamma$ -compact.

**Proof.** Suppose  $x_{\alpha}$  be a fuzzy point in Y with support  $x_1$  and the value x. Then  $x_{\beta}$  is a fuzzy point in X with support  $y_1$  and the value x. Now  $y_1 \in f^{-1}(x_1)$ . Therefore  $f(x_{\beta}) = x_{\alpha}$ . Since  $x_{\beta}$  is a fuzzy point in X and X is fuzzy locally pre- $\gamma$ -compact, by definition there exists an element  $\lambda \in \tau_X$  such that  $x_{\beta} \in \lambda$  and  $\lambda$  is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact. Now  $\lambda \in \tau_X$  and f is fuzzy pre\*- $\gamma$ -open map, thus  $f(\lambda) \in \tau_Y$  and  $f(\lambda)$  is fuzzy pre- $f(\lambda)$  and  $f(\lambda)$  is fuzzy pre- $f(\lambda)$  such that  $f(\lambda)$  is fuzzy pre- $f(\lambda)$  and  $f(\lambda)$  is fuzzy pre- $f(\lambda)$  is fuzzy pre- $f(\lambda)$  and  $f(\lambda)$  is fuzzy pre- $f(\lambda)$  and  $f(\lambda)$  is fuzzy pre- $f(\lambda)$  is fuzzy pre- $f(\lambda)$  and  $f(\lambda)$  is fuzzy pre- $f(\lambda)$  and  $f(\lambda)$  is fuzzy pre- $f(\lambda)$  and  $f(\lambda)$  is fuzzy pre- $f(\lambda)$  is fuzzy pre- $f(\lambda)$  and  $f(\lambda)$  is fuzzy pre- $f(\lambda)$  is fuzzy pre-f(

# 4. Fuzzy Pre- $\gamma$ -Closed Spaces

In this section, we introduce notions of fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed, fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed relative to X. We also prove some theorems.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be a fts and  $\gamma$  be an fuzzy operation on  $\tau_X$ . Then X is called fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed iff  $\forall$  collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy sets such that  $\bigvee_{\lambda \in \mathcal{A}} \lambda = \underline{1}$ , there is a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $(\bigvee_{\lambda \in \mathcal{B}} \operatorname{pcl}_{\gamma}(\lambda))(x) = \underline{1}$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ .

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be a fts and  $\gamma$  be an fuzzy operation on  $\tau_X$ . A fuzzy set  $\lambda$  in X is called fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed relative to X iff  $\forall$  collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy sets such that  $\bigvee_{\mu \in \mathcal{A}} \mu = \lambda$ , there is a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\bigvee_{\mu \in \mathcal{B}} pcl_{\gamma}(\mu)(x) = \lambda(x), \ \forall \ x \in S(\lambda).$ 

**Remark 4.1.** Every fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact space is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed, but the converse may not be true as shown in the below example.

**Example 4.1.** Let  $X \neq \underline{0}$  be a set and  $A_m(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{m}$ ,  $\forall x \in X$  and m be a

positive natural number. The collection  $\{A_m : m \text{ is a positive natural number}\}$  is a base for a fuzzy topology on X. Define a fuzzy operation  $\gamma$  on the fuzzy topology as  $\gamma(\lambda) = \lambda$ ,  $\forall$  open fuzzy sets. The collection  $\{A_m : m \text{ is a positive natural number}\}$  is a pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy set cover of X. On the other hand, we obtain  $pcl_{\gamma}(\lambda) = \underline{1}$ . Thus the fts X is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed but not fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -compact. (see [2])

**Theorem 4.1.** A fts X is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed iff for every fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -open filter-bases  $\mathcal{P}$  in X,  $(\bigwedge_{H \in \mathcal{P}} pcl_{\gamma}(H)) \neq \underline{0}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy set cover of X and let for each finite collection  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $(\bigvee_{B \in \mathcal{B}} pcl_{\gamma}(B))(x) < \underline{1}$ , for some  $x \in X$ . Therefore  $(\bigwedge_{H \in \mathcal{B}} \overline{pcl_{\gamma}(H)})(x) > \underline{0}$ , for

some  $x \in X$ . Hence  $\{pcl_{\gamma}(B) : B \in \mathcal{A}\} = \mathcal{P}$  forms a fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -open filter bases in X. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy set cover of X, we obtain  $(\bigwedge_{B \in \mathcal{A}} \overline{B}) = \underline{0}$ , which

implies  $(\bigwedge_{B\in\mathcal{A}}pcl_{\gamma}(\overline{pcl_{\gamma}(H)})(x)=\underline{0}$ , which is a contradiction. Then each pre- $\gamma$ -open

fuzzy set cover  $\mathcal{A}$  of X has a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $(\bigvee_{B \in \mathcal{B}} pcl_{\gamma}(B)(x) = \underline{1},$ 

 $\forall x \in X$ . Thus X is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed.

Conversely, assume that  $\exists$  a fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -open filter bases  $\mathcal{P}$  in X such that  $\bigwedge_{H \in \mathcal{P}} pcl_{\gamma}(H) = \underline{0}$ . Therefore  $(\bigvee_{H \in \mathcal{P}} \overline{pcl_{\gamma}(H)})(x) = \underline{1}, \ \forall \ x \in X$  and thus  $\mathcal{A} = \{(\overline{pcl_{\gamma}(H)}) : H \in \mathcal{A})\}$  is a pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy set cover of X. Since the fts X is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed,  $\mathcal{A}$  has a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $(\bigvee_{H \in \mathcal{B}} pcl_{\gamma}(\overline{pcl_{\gamma}(B)})(x) = \underline{1},$ 

 $\forall x \in X$ , and thus  $\bigwedge_{H \in \mathcal{B}} \overline{(pcl_{\gamma}(\overline{pcl_{\gamma}(H)}))} = \underline{0}$ , Hence  $\bigwedge_{H \in \mathcal{B}} H = \underline{0}$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $\bigwedge_{H \in \mathcal{P}} pcl_{\gamma}(H) \neq \underline{0}$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  in a fts X is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed relative to X iff  $\forall$  fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -open filter bases  $\mathcal{P}$  in X,  $(\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} pcl_{\gamma}(\lambda)) \wedge \mu \neq \underline{0}$ ,  $\exists$  a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{Q}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $(\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \mathcal{O}} \lambda) \overline{q} \mu$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed set relative to X. Suppose  $\mathcal{P}$  be a fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -open filterbases in X such that for each finite subcollection  $\mathcal{Q}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$ , then  $(\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \mathcal{Q}} \lambda) \ q \ \mu$ , but  $(\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} pcl_{\gamma}(\lambda)) \bigwedge \mu = \underline{0}$ . Then  $\forall \ x \in S(\mu), \ (\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} pcl_{\gamma}(\lambda))(x) = \underline{0}$ . Therefore  $(\bigvee_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} \overline{pcl_{\gamma}(\lambda)})(x) = \underline{1}, \ \forall \ x \in S(\mu)$ . So  $\mu = \{\overline{pcl_{\gamma}(\lambda)} : \lambda \in \mathcal{P}\}$  is a pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy set cover of  $\mathcal{P}$  and thus  $\exists$  a finite sub collection  $\mathcal{Q}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$ , such that  $(\bigvee_{\lambda \in \mathcal{Q}} pcl_{\gamma}(\overline{pcl_{\gamma}(\lambda)}) \geq \mu$ , so that  $\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \mathcal{Q}} (\overline{pcl_{\gamma}(\overline{pcl_{\gamma}(\lambda)})}) = \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \mathcal{Q}} (pint_{\gamma}(pcl_{\gamma}(\lambda)) \leq \overline{\mu}$ . Thus

 $\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \mathcal{Q}} \lambda \leq \overline{\mu}. \text{ Thus } \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \mathcal{Q}} \lambda \ \overline{q} \ \mu. \text{ This is a contradiction}.$ 

Conversely, assume that  $\mu$  is not fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed relative to X, then  $\exists$  a pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy set  $\mathcal{A}$  that covers  $\mu$  such that for each finite subcollection  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we obtain  $(\bigvee_{B\in\mathcal{B}}pcl_{\gamma}(B))(x) \leq \mu(x)$ , for some  $x\in S(\mu)$  and thus  $(\bigwedge_{B\in\mathcal{B}}(\overline{pcl_{\gamma}(B)})(x) \geq$  $(\overline{\mu(x)}) > \underline{0}$  for some  $x \in S(\mu)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{P} = \{\overline{pcl_{\gamma}(B)} : B \in \mathcal{A})\}$  forms a fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -open filterbases in X. Let  $\{\overline{pcl_{\gamma}(B)}: B \in \mathcal{B}\}$  be a finite subcollection such that  $(\bigwedge_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \overline{pcl_{\gamma}(\lambda)}) \overline{q} \mu$ . Therefore  $\mu \leq \bigvee_{B \in \mathcal{B}} pcl_{\gamma}(B)$ . So  $\exists$  a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mu \leq \bigvee_{B \in \mathcal{B}} pcl_{\gamma}(B)$ , which is a contradiction. Then  $\forall$  finite sub collection  $\mathcal{Q} = \{(\overline{pcl_{\gamma}(B)} : B \in \mathcal{B}\} \text{ of } \mathcal{P}, \text{ we obtain } (\bigwedge_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \overline{pcl_{\gamma}(\lambda)}) \ q \ \mu. \text{ There-}$ fore by hypothesis  $(\bigwedge_{B\in\mathcal{A}}pcl_{\gamma}(\overline{pcl_{\gamma}(\lambda)})) \bigwedge \mu \neq \underline{0}$ . Therefore  $\exists x \in S(\mu)$  such that  $(\bigwedge_{B\in\mathcal{A}}pcl_{\gamma}(\overline{pcl_{\gamma}(\lambda)}))(x)>\underline{0}. \text{ Thus } (\bigvee_{B\in\mathcal{A}}(\overline{pcl_{\gamma}(\overline{pcl_{\gamma}(\lambda)})})(x)=(\bigvee_{B\in\mathcal{A}}(pint_{\gamma}(pcl_{\gamma}(\lambda))))(x)<\underline{1} \text{ and thus } (\bigvee_{B\in\mathcal{A}}B)\ (x)<\underline{1}, \text{ which is a contradiction to fact that } \mathcal{A} \text{ is a}$ pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy set cover of  $\mu$ . Hence  $\mu$  is a fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed relative to X. X is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed space, then Y is also a fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $f:(X, \tau_X) \to (Y, \tau_Y)$  is an onto fuzzy  $pre^*-\gamma$ -continuous. If

**Proof.** Let  $\{B_{\mu} : \mu \in \mathcal{P}\}$  be a pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy set cover of Y. Since f is fuzzy pre\*- $\gamma$ -continuous,  $\{f^{-1}(B_{\mu}): \mu \in \mathcal{P}\}\$  is pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy set cover of X. By definition

of covering,  $\exists$  a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{Q}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $(\bigvee_{\mu \in \mathcal{Q}} pcl_{\gamma}(f^{-1}(B_{\mu})) = \underline{1}$ . Since f is an onto,  $\underline{1} = f(\underline{1}) = f(\bigvee_{\mu \in \mathcal{Q}} pcl_{\gamma}(f^{-1}(B_{\mu}))) \leq pcl_{\gamma}(f(f^{-1}(B_{\mu}))) = pcl_{\gamma}(B_{\mu})$ . Thus Y is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed.

# 5. Fuzzy Pre- $\gamma$ -Connected Spaces

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be a fits and  $\gamma$  be a fuzzy operation on  $\tau_X$ . Then  $(X, \tau_X)$  is called fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -connected if it has no proper pre- $\gamma$ -clopen (pre- $\gamma$ -open and pre- $\gamma$ -closed) fuzzy subset. [ A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  in X is proper if  $\mu \neq 0$  and  $\mu \neq \underline{1}$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** A fts  $(X, \tau_X)$  is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -connected iff it has no non zero pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy subsets  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  such that  $\lambda + \mu = 1$ .

**Proof.** If such  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  exist, then  $\lambda$  is a proper fuzzy set which are both pre- $\gamma$ open and pre- $\gamma$ -closed fuzzy set in X. Conversely, if possible assume that X is not fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -connected. Then it has a proper fuzzy set  $\lambda$  which are both pre- $\gamma$ -open and pre- $\gamma$ -closed fuzzy set. Let us take  $\mu = \lambda^c$ . Hence  $\mu$  is a pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy set such that  $\mu \neq 0$  and  $\lambda + \mu = 1$ .

Corollary 5.1. A fts  $(X, \tau_X)$  is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -connected iff it has no non zero pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy subsets  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  such that  $\lambda + \mu = \underline{1}$  and  $\lambda + \overline{\mu} = \overline{\lambda} + \mu = \underline{1}$ . **Proof.** Evident.

**Remark 5.1.** The fuzzy product of fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -connected spaces may not be a fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -connected as shown in the following example.

**Example** Let  $X_i = [0,1], i \in I$ . For some  $j,k \in I$ , let  $\tau_{X_j} = \{0,1,\lambda\}$  and  $\tau_{X_k} = \{0,1,\lambda^c\}$ , where  $\lambda(x) = 1/3$ , for  $0 \le x \le 1$  and  $\tau_{X_i} = \{0,1\}$  for each  $i \in I$  and  $i \ne j$ ,  $i \ne k$ . Define an operation  $\gamma$  on  $\tau_{X_j}$  and  $\tau_{X_k}$  by  $\gamma(\lambda) = \lambda$  and  $\gamma(\lambda^c) = \lambda^c$  respectively. Then each  $X_i$  is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -connected but  $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$  is not so as  $\tau(\prod_{i \in I} X_i)$  contains non zero pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy sets  $A_j^{-1}(\lambda)$  and  $A_k^{-1}(\lambda^c)$  such that for every  $x \in \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ ,  $A_j^{-1}(\lambda) + A_k^{-1}(\lambda^c) = 1$ . [3]

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be a fts and  $\gamma$  be a fuzzy operation on  $\tau_X$ . A fuzzy subset Y in X is called fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -connected if  $(Y, \tau_Y)$  is a fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -connected.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be a fts and  $\gamma$  be a fuzzy operation on  $\tau_X$ . Y is a fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -connected subset of X and  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  are non empty pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy subsets of X such that  $\lambda + \mu = \underline{1}_X$ , then either  $\lambda \wedge Y = \underline{1}_Y$  or  $\mu \wedge Y = \underline{1}_Y$ .

**Proof.** Assume that there exists  $x_1, x_2 \in Y$  such that  $\lambda(x_1) \neq \underline{1}$  and  $\mu(X_2) \neq \underline{1}$ . Then  $\lambda + \mu = \underline{1}$  implies that  $\lambda \wedge Y + \mu \wedge Y = \underline{1}$ , where  $\lambda \wedge Y \neq \underline{0}$  and  $\mu \wedge Y \neq \underline{0}$ . Therefore by Theorem 5.1, Y is not a fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -connected.

**Definition 5.3.** Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be a fts and  $\gamma$  be a fuzzy operation on  $\tau_X$ . Two pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy sets  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  in X are called fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -separated if  $\overline{\lambda} + \mu \leq \underline{1}$  and  $\lambda + \overline{\mu} \leq \underline{1}$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** The image of a fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -connected space under a fuzzy pre\*- $\gamma$ -continuous mapping is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -connected.

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be a fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -connected fts and  $f:(X, \tau_X) \to (Y, \tau_Y)$  be a continuous onto mapping. If possible suppose that  $(Y, \tau_Y)$  is not fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -connected. Then  $\exists$  two non empty pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy sets  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  of Y such that  $\lambda + \mu = \underline{1}$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(\lambda)$  and  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  are two non empty pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy subsets of X such that  $f^{-1}(\lambda) + f^{-1}(\mu) = \underline{1}$ , which implies X is not fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -connected. This is a contradiction.

**Definition 5.4.** Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be a fts and  $\gamma$  be a fuzzy operation on  $\tau_X$ . Then X is called fuzzy strongly pre- $\gamma$ -connected if it has no non zero pre- $\gamma$ -closed fuzzy sets  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  such that  $\mu_1 + \mu_2 \leq \underline{1}$ . If X is not fuzzy strongly pre- $\gamma$ -connected then it

is said to be fuzzy weakly pre- $\gamma$ -connected.

**Theorem 5.4.** A fts X is fuzzy strongly pre- $\gamma$ -connected iff it has no non zero pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy sets  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  such that  $\lambda_1 \neq \underline{1}$ ,  $\lambda_2 \neq \underline{1}$  and  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \geq \underline{1}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that X is not a fuzzy strongly pre- $\gamma$ -connected. So X is fuzzy weakly pre- $\gamma$ -connected.

- $\Leftrightarrow$  if it has non zero pre- $\gamma$ -closed fuzzy sets  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  such that  $\mu_1 + \mu_2 \leq \underline{1}$ .
- $\Leftrightarrow$  if it has non zero pre- $\gamma$ -open fuzzy sets  $\lambda = \mu_1^c$  and  $\lambda_2 = \mu_2^c$  such that  $\lambda_1 \neq 1$ ,  $\lambda_2 \neq 1$  and  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 > 1$ .

**Remark 5.2.** Every fuzzy strongly pre- $\gamma$ -connectedness is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -connectedness but the converse need not be true as shown in the following example.

**Example 5.3.** Let X = [0, 1] and for  $0 \le x \le 1$ ,  $\mu(x) = 0.6$  and  $\tau_X = \{\underline{1}, \underline{0}, \mu\}$ . Clearly  $(X, \tau_X)$  is a fts. Define  $\gamma : \tau_X \to I^X$  by  $\gamma(\underline{1}) = \underline{1}$ ,  $\gamma(\underline{0}) = \underline{0}$ ,  $\gamma(\mu) = \mu$ . Then the fts X is a fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -connected but not strongly pre- $\gamma$ -connected.

#### References

- Chang, C. L., Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 24 (1968), 182-189.
- [2] Coker, D., and Haydar Es, A., On fuzzy S-closed spaces, Doga Tu J. Math., (1987), 145-152.
- [3] Fatteh, U. V. and Bassan, D. S., Fuzzy Connectedness and Its Stronger Forms, Journals of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 111 (1985), 449-464.
- [4] Ganguly, S. and Saha, S., A Note on compactness in fuzzy setting, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 34 (1990), 117-124.
- [5] Hariwan Z. Ibrahim., Weak forms of  $\gamma$ -open sets and new separation axioms, Int. J. Sci. Eng. Res., 3(4) (2012), 1-4.
- [6] Kalita, B., and Das, N. R., Some Aspects of Fuzzy operations, The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, 19(3) (2011), 531-540.
- [7] Kasahara, S., Operation-Compact spaces, Math. Japonica, 24 (1979), 97-105.
- [8] Sivashanmugaraja, C., Fuzzy Pre\*-γ-Open and Fuzzy Pre\*-γ-Continuous Mappings in Fuzzy Topological Spaces, International journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology, 67(4) (2021), 101-109.

- [9] Sivashanmugaraja, C., More on Fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -open and Fuzzy Pre- $\gamma$ -closed Sets, South East Asian Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Special Issue, Accepted.
- [10] Sivashanmugaraja, C., Operation approaches on fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -continuity and fuzzy weakly pre- $\gamma$ -continuity in fuzzy topological spaces, Applied Science and Computer Mathematics, Accepted.
- [11] Sivashanmugaraja, C., and Vadivel, A., Weak forms of fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 13 (2017), 251-261.
- [12] Zadeh, L. A., Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8 (1965), 338-353.